railway developments possible. In such cases at least, there could be no objection to giving up the railway cess and collecting the education cess.

MR. C. N. NARASINGA RAO pleaded for a liberalisation of the scheme of extension of educational facilities. He added that the Bangalore City Municipality was prepared to levy an education cess if better facilities were created within the City.

MR. T. MARIAPPA suggested that Government themselves might prepare schemes on behalf of local bodies and also suggest ways and means of providing funds therefor out of the funds of those bodies.

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI considered that that would not be practicable.

THE DEWAN stated that Government would see how things developed in the course of the budget year and consider whether an increased allotment over and above the sum of Rs. 15,000 already provided would be necessary and possible.

The resolution was *not pressed.*

The Assembly adjourned for the day at 5-20 p.m. to meet again at 12 of the clock the next day.

FIFTH DAY.

Tuesday, 18th June 1935.

The House reassembled at 12 of the clock.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS— (*contd.*).

Scholarships for Boys of the Halepaika or Deevara Community.

MR. TUDKI RAMANNA GOWDA (Tirthahalli Taluk):—

Q.—41. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) What is the population of the Halepaika or Deevara community in the Shimoga, Kadur and Hassan Districts according to the last census?

(*b*) How many boys of this community are receiving education? What is the number of boys of over 8 years and below 18 years who are fit to receive education?

(*c*) How many scholarships are being awarded to them?

(*d*) Is this community included in the list of backward communities who are eligible for scholarships?

(*e*) If so, how many boys are receiving scholarships?

THE GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI).—

A.—(*a*) Information is not available in the Census Report.

(*b*) Information is not readily available.

(*c*) No definite number of scholarships have been allotted to the pupils of this community.

(*d*) Yes, under minor backward communities.

(*e*) Two boys in high school classes and one in the middle school were awarded scholarships in 1934-35.

MR. TUDKI RAMANNA GOWDA.—How is it that the information asked for in question (*a*) is said to be not available in the Census Report?

MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—Because there is no separate sub-head in the census tables.

MR. TUDKI RAMANNA GOWDA.—Are the Government aware that the community is very backward and if so, do they not think that it deserves special encouragement?

MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—Yes.

MR. TUDKI RAMANNA GOWDA.—Do the Government know that the community is not able to derive the benefit of backward class scholarships on account of its being merged with some other communities?

MR H. V. RAMASVAMI.—It is not possible to allot scholarships to each backward community separately.

MR. TUDKI RAMANNA GOWDA.—Would there be any objection to show the community separately in the Census Report at least in future?

MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—The question will be considered if a representation to that effect is made.

MR. BAGAMANE DEVA GOWDA.—Do not the Government consider that the community deserves to be treated separately from other backward classes for purposes of scholarships?

MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI.—They are grouped under what are known as minor backward communities for whom a sum of Rs. 1,000 has been separately set apart.

Prevention of Cow Slaughter.

MESSRS. C. RANGAPPA (Davangere Municipality) and CHENNAPPA (Davangere Taluk).—

Q.—43. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether a committee had been constituted by Government for making proposals for prevention of slaughter of cows in the State?

(*b*) When was the committee formed?

(*c*) Has the committee submitted its report to Government?

(*d*) Will the Government be pleased to state a brief purport of the report?

(*e*) What is the action taken by Government on the said report?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN).—

A.—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) 1926.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) The purport of the report of the committee was that legislation may be introduced to protect all heifers, cows in milk and pregnant cows from slaughter.

(*e*) No action was taken as it was not considered either necessary or expedient to undertake legislation.

Extension of the Land Mortgage Bank Scheme.

MR. C. RANGAPPA (Davangere Municipality).—

Q.—44. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) How many land mortgage banks are there in the State and in what places?

(*b*) What is the total amount of loan advanced by these banks so far?

(*c*) (i) How many applications for loans were received in all; (ii) how many of the applicants were granted loans; and (iii) what is the total amount of the loan applied for?

(*d*) Would the Government be pleased to establish some more banks as there is much economic distress in the State?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (MR. M. VENKATANARANAPPA).—

A.—(*a*) Besides the land mortgage bank in Bangalore City, there are 18 land mortgage societies working in the following places:—

1. Tumkur,
2. Saklespur.
3. Yesalur.
4. Sagar.
5. Bhimanakone.
6. Koppa.
7. Karimane.
8. Shimoga.
9. Chikmagalur.
10. Hassan.
11. Kunigal.
12. Narasimharajapura.
13. Goribidnur.
14. Chikballapur.
15. Krishnarajanagara.
16. Tiptur.
17. Turuvekere.
18. Kolar.

The land mortgage society which has very recently been registered at Kalasa, Mudigere Taluk, has not yet started work. The operations of the land mortgage bank have recently been extended to the Nanjangud Taluk.

(*b*) Rs. 2,37,850 through the societies; Rs. 84,150 direct by the bank.

Total Rs. 3,22,000.

(*c*) (i) 256 applications direct by the bank and 569 through land mortgage societies. 825 in all.

(ii) 32 individuals direct from the bank and 296 through the societies.

Total 328 individuals

(iii) Rs. 7,00,399 by the direct applicants; Rs. 9,63,938-4-0 through the societies.

Total Rs. 16,64,337-4-0.